

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,648	ARONSTAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank S. Tsay	3672	

All Participants:

(1) Frank S. Tsay.

(2) Chandran D. Kumar.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A typographical error in claim 1, lines 9-10 has been discussed, applicant agrees to delete the language "to reduce" to avoid possible 112 rejection.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)